

City of Tampa Tree Canopy and Urban Forest Analysis 2021


**Tampa City Council Briefing
November 30, 2023**

UF IFAS Extension
UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA

USF UNIVERSITY OF
SOUTH FLORIDA



City of
Tampa
Florida



Dr. Shawn Landry
School of Geosciences
University of South Florida

Dr. Andrew Koeser
Gulf Coast Research and
Education Center
University of Florida

Dr. Rebecca Zarger
Department of Anthropology
University of South Florida


Topics Covered

- I. The History and Importance of Tampa's Urban Forest
 - I. Early History
 - II. Impacts of Development to the Urban Forest
 - III. Environmental and Economic Benefits
- II. Results of the 2021 Urban Forest Analysis
 - I. Methodology
 - II. Conditions
 - III. Trends
- III. Summary of Community Survey and Interviews
 - I. Perception
 - II. Priorities
- IV. Next Steps

Natural Resources Planning Program



1820s “Pre-development” Tampa



Pineland System

A map developed to estimate circa 1820 vegetation and land use in Hillsborough County shows that Tampa was likely covered by extensive pine forests (Lee 1979)


1948 vs Today

- ▶ Native habitat included sparsely treed pine flatwoods
- ▶ Tree planting during/after development led to the current canopy
 - ▶ Reflecting the same desire for trees/canopy voiced by residents in the survey



Examples of Tree Canopy Expansion


Pre- and Post-
development
New Tampa



MacDill A.F.B. Area



Tampa's Urban Forest Benefits (Ecosystem Services)



- ▶ \$7.5 million is savings associated (primarily) with cooling buildings.
- ▶ \$9.5 million in reduced health costs associated with air pollution
- ▶ 560 million gallons of avoided runoff (enough to fill the Florida Aquarium 1118 times)



What is the Urban Forest Analysis?

5-year assessment
of the City's tree
canopy and urban
forest

- How is our tree canopy changing?

Evaluation of the
performance criteria
in the City of
Tampa's Urban
Forest Management
Plan


- How is the City doing in meeting tree
canopy goals?

Measured every 5
years since 2006

- Tree canopy coverage and change
over time
- Urban forest health, distribution,
species diversity, and economic
benefits

Additional analysis
for 2021

- Social science survey of values and
opinions
- Urban heat map
- Potential locations for tree planting
- Analysis of environmental equity



Authors

Dr. Shawn M. Landry, University of South Florida
Dr. Andrew K. Koeser, University of Florida
Dr. Rebecca Zarger, University of South Florida
Kira Rib, M.P.H., University of South Florida
Dr. Allyson Salisbury, University of Florida
Robert J. Northrop, UF/IFAS Extension, Hillsborough County
Dr. Michael G. Andreu, University of Florida
Allison Bednar, University of South Florida
Zach Freeman, University of Florida

Other Project Contributors


Jan Allyn, University of South Florida
Ruth Costley, University of South Florida
Jarlath O'Neil-Dunne, University of Vermont
Ernie Buford, University of Vermont
Anna Royar, University of Vermont
Cale Kochenour, University of Vermont

City of Tampa Staff Contributors

Brian Knox
Eric Muecke
Mary Danielewicz-Bryson
Whit Remer
Danielle Rapp

Urban Forest Field Measurements and Analysis

► Field measurements at 201 plots




- Location, Location, Location!
- Tree species, size, condition
- Location of tree and built infrastructure
- Shrub Coverage
- Ground Coverage
- Changes over time

Tampa Urban Forest Diversity





Top ten tree species



Urban Tree Diversity


259 Tree/Shrub Species in Tampa




Urban Tree Diversity

Table 5. Performance criteria related to tree species diversity.


Criteria	Vegetation Resource – Performance Indicators				Key Objective
	Low	Moderate	Good	Optimal	
Tree species diversity	Fewer than five species dominate the entire tree population citywide.	No species represents more than 20% of the entire tree population citywide.	No species represents more than 15% of the entire tree population citywide. (16)	No species represent more than 10% of the entire tree population citywide. (11)	Establish a diverse tree population citywide.



Diameter Distribution of Trees (Managed Forest Only)



Type I – Young Population



Stem Diameter

Urban Forest Wind Resistance


Table 6. Performance criteria related to wind resistance.

Criteria	Vegetation Resource – Performance Indicators				Key Objective
	Low	Moderate	Good	Optimal	
Wind resistance of tree species citywide.	Majority of trees are rated in lowest category of wind resistance.	Majority of trees are rated in medium and high categories of wind resistance. (11,16)	Majority of trees are rated in high category of wind resistance.	Greater than 80% of trees are rated in highest category of wind resistance.	Reduce disruption of social and economic services; reduce cost of cleanup and protect private property and human well being.



Tree Canopy Coverage – Change over time

- ▶ Canopy increased during the 1900s, but there has been a continuous decline since 2011, down to 30%. Canopy loss since 2011 is a @ 4x the area of Davis Islands.
- ▶ Many reasons for change: planting/growth, removal for construction, public works projects, removal of unhealthy trees (e.g., Laurel Oak), etc.



Every Neighborhood Is Different

Top 30 Neighborhoods by Percent Tree Canopy

Neighborhood Association	2016 Tree Canopy	2021 Tree Canopy	Canopy Change
Tampa Palms	73%	73%	0.2%
Hunter's Green - Pinnacle	55%	60%	4.4%
New Suburb Beautiful	58%	57%	-1.1%
Hampton Terrace	53%	53%	-0.1%
New Tampa - The Sanctuary	54%	53%	-1.3%
Hunter's Green - Cypress Ridge	50%	52%	2.2%
Hunter's Green - Heather Downs	55%	52%	-3.1%
Ballast Point	50%	51%	0.4%
Sunset Park	48%	50%	1.9%
Bayshore Beautiful Neighborhood Association, Inc.	51%	50%	-1.1%
Heritage Isles	50%	50%	-0.3%
East Arbor Heights Neighborhood Association	48%	48%	0.2%
Southeast Seminole Heights	47%	48%	0.4%
Beach Park	45%	47%	1.7%
West Meadows	49%	46%	-2.3%
Virginia Park	46%	46%	0.6%
Riverbend	49%	45%	-4.4%
Hunter's Greene	47%	44%	-2.3%
South Seminole Heights	44%	44%	-0.3%
Golfview	45%	44%	-0.7%
Parkland Estates	45%	44%	-0.9%
Old Seminole Heights	44%	43%	-0.7%
Forest Hills Neighborhood	42%	43%	0.7%
Live Oaks Square Neighborhood Association	44%	43%	-1.1%
Historic Hyde Park	42%	42%	0.6%
Northeast Community Crimewatch & Civic Association	43%	42%	-0.7%
Palma Ceia	41%	41%	0.5%
Port Tampa City Civic Association, Inc.	41%	41%	0.6%
Hyde Park Preservation	44%	40%	-3.1%
Bel Mar Shores	38%	40%	2.4%

Neighborhood Tree Canopy – Gains and Losses


Top 20 Neighborhoods by Canopy Loss

Neighborhood Association	2016 Tree Canopy	2021 Tree Canopy	Canopy Change
Gray Gables	43%	38%	-5.6%
Armory Gardens	32%	27%	-4.8%
Riverbend	49%	45%	-4.4%
Bon Air	36%	32%	-4.0%
Cory Lake Isles	28%	25%	-3.2%
Hunter's Green - Heather Downs	55%	52%	-3.1%
Hyde Park Preservation	44%	40%	-3.1%
Davis Islands Civic Association	31%	28%	-2.9%
Riverside Heights	43%	40%	-2.7%
Rainbow Heights	42%	39%	-2.7%
Jackson Heights	32%	29%	-2.5%
West Meadows	49%	46%	-2.3%
Oakford Park	31%	29%	-2.3%
Hunter's Greene	47%	44%	-2.3%
Harbour Island	32%	30%	-2.2%
Macfarlane Park	23%	21%	-2.2%
East Tampa Business & Civic	32%	30%	-1.8%
East Ybor Historic	16%	15%	-1.8%
Stadium Area	26%	24%	-1.7%
Ridgewood Park	39%	37%	-1.5%


Top 20 Neighborhoods by Canopy Gain

Neighborhood Association	2016 Tree Canopy	2021 Tree Canopy	Canopy Change
Southtown Park Homeowners Assoc.	18%	26%	8.3%
Hunter's Green - Pinnacle	55%	60%	4.4%
Easton Park	16%	19%	3.3%
Bel Mar Shores	38%	40%	2.4%
Culbreath Heights	32%	35%	2.4%
Seminole Heights East	34%	36%	2.3%
Channel District	4%	7%	2.3%
Gandy Civic Association	24%	26%	2.2%
Hunter's Green - Cypress Ridge	50%	52%	2.2%
Tampa Downtown Partnership	7%	9%	2.0%
College Hill-Belmont Heights	27%	29%	2.0%
Sunset Park	48%	50%	1.9%
Hyde Park Spanishtown Creek	20%	22%	1.9%
Beach Park	45%	47%	1.7%
FairOaks/Manhattan Manor	23%	25%	1.7%
Carver City / Lincoln Gardens	13%	15%	1.4%
Old West Tampa	25%	26%	1.1%
Bayside West	28%	29%	1.0%
Historic Ybor	12%	13%	0.9%
Grant Park	35%	35%	0.8%


Canopy Change by Planning Districts (2016 – 2021)




- ▶ South Tampa - statistically significant decline in tree canopy between 2016 and 2021.
- ▶ Central Tampa - statistically significant decrease in canopy between 2011 and 2021.
- ▶ Currently the canopy in South & Central Tampa is at a 20-year low.



Canopy Change by City Council District




- ▶ District 4 – statistically significant decrease between 2016 and 2021
- ▶ Districts 5 and 6 tree canopy increased from 2006 to 2011, but then decreased between 2011 and 2021.
- ▶ District 7 tree canopy cover increased slightly each year between 2006 to 2016 and decreased slightly in 2021.





Mapping Urban Heat

Landsat satellites measure land surface temperature





Tree canopy mitigates urban heat





Urban Heat Island & Canopy Coverage

- ▶ A 10% increase in canopy could reduce heat related mortality by 3-22% compared to baseline levels in 10 US cities (USDA, USFS)




Equity Analysis – Urban Heat and Tree Canopy

- ▶ Areas with more low-income residents have
 - ▶ Less tree canopy
 - ▶ Higher urban heat
 - ▶ But... more potential planting areas




Equity Analysis – Urban Heat and Tree Canopy

- ▶ Areas with more Hispanic residents have less tree canopy and higher urban heat




- ▶ Areas with more Renters have less tree canopy and higher urban heat




- ▶ Urban heat and tree canopy was not strongly correlated with
 - ▶ Population density
 - ▶ Percentage of African American / Black residents
 - ▶ Areas with higher percentage of children

Potential Planting Sites




- ▶ Locations with grass/shrub or bare earth
- ▶ Planting areas must be refined based on ground level inspections and residents' opinions
 - ▶ Conflicts with infrastructure
 - ▶ Preference for planting in public areas
 - ▶ 82% of people want more trees in Tampa and in their neighborhood



Targeted Street Tree Plantings

- ▶ Right-of-way and public spaces have many potential planting locations



2021 Social Science Survey of Values and Opinions



What did we want to know?



What would residents in different neighborhoods of Tampa like to see for the future of trees in the City?



What are public perceptions about benefits or drawbacks to the urban forest?



What priorities do residents have related to trees, and how do priorities and concerns vary by demographic factors such as age, ethnicity and race, occupation, and location in the City?



How do participants view the City's management of trees and key challenges facing our urban forest?



How do people in the varied and diverse areas in Tampa think about trees in their yard, their neighborhood, and across the City?

2021 Social Science Survey of Values and Opinions

1,139 survey responses
(online/in person survey open 5 months)

Survey developed through focus groups with City staff

35 Interviews & presentations at public meetings in areas with lower survey participation

More work needs to be done to obtain views from Black and Hispanic residents



Help Shape the Future of Tampa's Tree Canopy!

Take the Survey Today.

Researchers at USF are studying what City of Tampa residents think about the trees in our urban forest. We are interested in your experiences with trees in your neighborhood and want to hear from you about the City's maintenance and future plans for trees.

Visit the study website or scan the QR code to take the survey!



If you would like to schedule an interview or for more information please contact:
Dr. Rebecca Zarger

Principal Investigator, USF
IRB Study #STUDY003570

813-974-0089
rzarger@usf.edu

Survey Web Page:
waterinstitute.usf.edu/tree-survey

To learn more about the City of Tampa's Natural Resources Program, please visit tampa.gov/trees.

2021 Social Science Survey of Values and Opinions



Key Findings by Theme

Values and Benefits of Trees

Risks and Drawbacks of Trees

Social Equity

Building & Development


City Management of and Communication about Trees

Urban Forest Management Plan


2021 Social Science Survey of Values and Opinions

Survey Respondents Highly Value Trees


Having a lot of trees is...




I would prefer ____ trees in the City of Tampa



I would prefer ____trees in my yard





Survey Results: Top **risks and drawbacks** of trees

Costs of maintenance

Costs of permits to remove or trim

Damage to private and city property
(sidewalks, homes, powerlines)

Branches or trees falling in storms
and clean-up of leaves


Roots causing damage to pipes,
foundations, homes

Need for better maintenance




Values and Priorities: Tree Removal Motivations and Cost

Q37 - Which of the following justifies the removal of large trees (e.g., grand oaks) in the City: (check all that apply)



Q17 - Select the most important reason you have not yet removed the tree(s) - Selected Choice



Community members think it's more justified to remove large trees that cause damage to infrastructure or are likely to fall but less so for construction or aesthetic reasons

Values and Priorities: Tree Maintenance Affordability


Widespread concerns about tree maintenance and affordability, across neighborhoods

- Relates to perceptions of risk and need to address urban heat in low income areas
- Call from residents to invest in maintenance support programs (e.g., East Tampa Tree Trimming Program)

"I think probably one of the biggest equity issues is that it's not cheap to go and buy a bunch of plants and landscaping and trees for your yard. Not to mention the upkeep, the water...I think a lot of people are just trying to feed themselves, let alone going out and buying trees and planting them and landscaping and all of that." ~Seminole Heights resident



Q31 - How affordable is tree maintenance, if you have to pay for it?



Values and Priorities: Tree Planting in Desired Areas



- ▶ Interest and support for the TreeMendous Tree Planting program and calls to expand the program and amount of tree planting by the City.
- ▶ Many residents expressed concern about the recent pace of tree canopy loss and impacts of change in regulations for tree removal
- ▶ Concern that the current measures for tree replacement are disproportionate to the effects of removal.
- ▶ Calls for more information-sharing about trees and the tree canopy, such as which tree species are more resilient to extreme weather

Community Survey and Interviews: Summing Up



Public highly values our urban forest for its many benefits and wants to see more trees planted in the City and their neighborhoods, despite some of the drawbacks of trees such as high cost of maintenance



Where more tree canopy loss is occurring, residents are voicing concern for associated changes in sense of place, biodiversity, and shade as well as impacts of State statutes about removal



There is shared concern about fairness and equity of tree canopy density and maintenance across the City and how that increases both heat and costs for residents



Without appropriate tree maintenance, perceptions of risks from trees increases, potentially leading to more tree removal and loss of canopy

*A podcast series
created by
faculty, graduate
and
undergraduate
students of USF
Dept. of
Anthropology*

Episodes include:

**Spirituality, Resilience, Heat
and Shade, Equity, Cultural
Values, Health, and Climate**



Next Steps

- I. Formally establish the Natural Resources Advisory Committee (NRAC)
 - I. Ordinance adoption
 - II. Member appointments
- II. Ongoing Communication Outreach/Education
- III. Update to the Urban Forest Management Plan to reflect updated data/analysis
 - I. Improve accessibility
 - II. Clarify Priorities
 - III. Identify/track ongoing performance measures
- IV. Annual progress reporting

Questions?

