

### **CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes**

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan.

**91.520(a)** This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The City of Tampa Program Year 2014 (FY15) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report is the Year 3 annual performance report for the PY 2012-2016 Consolidated Plan. The report describes the City of Tampa's progress towards the housing and community development goals for the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015. During the reporting period, the City of Tampa expended a total of \$4,988,079 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG), and Housing for Persons with AIDS Grant (HOPWA). These funds were utilized for housing (\$755,700), public facility improvements and infrastructure (\$494,014), public services (\$256,079.79), and homelessness (\$215,431). (Source: Summary of Consolidated PR06, PR05 and SEFA)

This funding was utilized in the pursuit of the City's and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's mutual goals of creating a suitable living environment, providing decent housing, and expanding economic opportunity for individuals and families earning at or below 80% of the area median income. Throughout program year 2014, the City's Housing Program continues to make progress towards meeting the goals and objectives of the proposed number of affordable housing units. The City issued \$2.1 million for Owner Occupied Program to help stabilize affordable housing for low to moderate income families. In addition, we also revamped the Mortgage Assistance Program to assist first time homebuyers in acquiring affordable housing. The program is now administered by non-profit agencies in an effort to broaden outreach efforts. An area where we continue to struggle is in affordable rental units, with the housing recovery a new problem was created; foreclosed homeowners created a demand in the rental market pushing rental prices above the affordability rates. The City is leveraging its resources with private organizations in the owner rehab programs as well as acquisition/rehab programs to enable HUD resources to stretch further and benefit more home owners. The City continues to recognize the challenges to producing affordable housing options and has used its funds to provide programs most needed in the community.

# Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected  - Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected - Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	4278	86%	1000	1773	177%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	200	235	117%	100	135	135%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	323	40	12%	11	11	100%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	128	256%	100	100	100%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0	0	0	0	0%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	200	107	54%	10	10	100%

2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	As	irect Financial ssistance to omebuyers	Households Assisted	25	13	52%	15	10	66%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	as	enant-based rental ssistance / Rapid ehousing	Households Assisted	1620	644	40%	324	462	102%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		omelessness revention	Persons Assisted	250	100	40%	0	0	0
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		ousing for People ith HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	490	423	86%	98	0	0%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		IV/AIDS Housing perations	Household Housing Unit	2500	620	25%	500	29	61%
2013 Goal - Decent and Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		ther (Housing ounseling)	Other	385	117	30%	77	371	22%
2013 Goal - Economic Opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	ot Lo	ublic service activities ther than pw/Moderate Income ousing Benefit	Persons Assisted	500	380	76%	100	120	120%
2013 Goal - Economic Opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	Jo	bs created/retained	Jobs	200	2	1%	10	12	102%

	1	I	T						1
2013 Goal - Economic Opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	20	0	0.00%	4	0	0
2013 Goal - Economic Opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	Other	Other	740	0	0.00%	148	0	0
2013 Goal - Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	2500	17126	685%	500	0	0
2013 Goal - Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	3000	1661	55%	600	1159	193%
2013 Goal - Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	5000	1253	25%	1000	1,246	125%
2013 Goal - Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	16200	86982	537%	100	0	0%
2013 Goal - Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	3240	100	3%	0	0	0
2013 Goal - Suitable Living Environment	Homeless	Other	Other	9000	2132	24%	1800	34	1.8%

Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	135	37	135	365.00%
Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	0	11	10	11	110.00%
Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	100	10	100	1000.00%
Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	5	40	10	25%

Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	0	10	23	10	43.00%
Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	0	308	310	462	149%
Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	0	0	60	0	0.00%
Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	0	309	60	67	112%

Provide and Sustain Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1134010 / HOPWA: \$2425072 / HOME: \$1062541 / ESG: \$218772	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	0	390	350	34	9%
Provide Economic Opportunities	Special Economic Development	CDBG: \$523261	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	2	180	120	67.00%
Provide Economic Opportunities	Special Economic Development	CDBG: \$523261	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	0	2	20	2	10.00%
Provide Economic Opportunities	Special Economic Development	CDBG: \$523261	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	0	4	0	0.00%
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$456193 / HOPWA: \$319015	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	174	800	174	22.00%

Provide Suitable Living Environment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$456193 / HOPWA: \$319015	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	181	600	100	17%
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$456193 / HOPWA: \$319015	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	935	1785	1773	99%
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$456193 / HOPWA: \$319015	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	5	40	10	25%
Provide Suitable Living Environment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$456193 / HOPWA: \$319015	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	0	81	3240	81	3.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

#### **Public Facilities and Infrastructure**

The construction of and improvements to public facilities continue to be a focus of the City, utilizing \$494,014 in CDBG funding. During the reporting period, the following public facilities were completed or upgraded: Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind Parking Improvements to benefit handicapped individuals, Metropolitan Ministries Emergency Youth Center construction, and Alpha House HVAC improvements to benefit homeless mothers and their children. Numerous improvements were made to park and recreational facilities including the beginning of the Perry Harvey Park.

#### **Public Service**

While remaining under the 15% public service cap as required by HUD, the City drew \$317,371.91 (PR26 line 31) on public service activities. These funds enabled local partnering agencies to assist Tampa residents with needed services for handicapped, youth, employment, special needs, homelessness and those needing housing and support services.

### CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

# Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG
White	641	26	262	610
Black or African American	1457	58	349	738
Asian	0	0	0	17
American Indian or American Native	0	0	1	8
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	2
Total	2098	84	612	1375
Hispanic	307	14	115	22
Not Hispanic	1791	70	497	1353

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

#### **Narrative**

Public service entities expended \$217,560 in CDBG funding to provide services to approximately 1,621 minorities in the City of Tampa in Program Year 2014 and prior year activities. These services included child care, elder services, youth leadership, and homeless programs. Public Facility Improvement projects accounted for approximately \$412,881 and 229 minorities served with CDBG funds. Fourteen minority families were served with HOME funds for owner rehabilitation, and down payment assistance \$272,868, and \$2,186,558 rental opportunities programs utilizing for for 33 minority families. Additionally, \$118,487 of Emergency Shelter Grant funds provided shelter and transitional housing for minority individuals, and \$1,596,603 of Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS funding provided supportive housing for 350 minority persons with HIV/AIDS.

### CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

### Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made	Amount Expended
		Available	<b>During Program Year</b>
CDBG	Entitlement and PI	\$2,630,580	\$1,767,713
HOME	Entitlement and PI	\$1,180,601	\$203,877
	Entitlement and Carry		
HOPWA	Over	\$3,033,872	\$2,801,048
ESG	Entitlment and Carry Over	\$218,772	\$ 215,431
Total	Total	\$7,063,825	\$4,988,078

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

#### Narrative

The City of Tampa made available a total of \$7,063,825 for Program Year 2014 (Fiscal Year 2015). The City expended prior year funding in addition to PY2014 funding to reach its goals. The City uses other monies in addition to CDBG, ESG, HOME, and ESG funds to accomplish Consolidated Plan objectives. The City was able to leverage CDBG and HOME funds with over \$4,669,544 from nonfederal, state, local and private sources in Program Year 2014.

### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage	Actual Percentage of	Narrative Description
	of Allocation	Allocation	
City Wide (CDBG, HOME,			
ESG)	100	100	Eligible Areas
HOPWA			
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY	56	56	
PINELLAS COUNTY	36	36	
PASCO COUNTY	6	6	
HERNANDO COUNTY	2	2	

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

#### **Narrative**

Except for the HOPWA EMSA, City of Tampa allocates investments geographically within its jurisdictional boundaries (HOPWA fund allocation is within west central Florida EMSA) based on priority needs criteria outlined in the Consolidated Plan. The priorities in the allocation of the resources the City expects to receive would be located throughout the community. Many census tracts qualify as meeting the low-moderate income criteria as provided in 24 CFR 570.208 (a), (1), (ii).

The primary distribution of the population benefiting from the grant assistance program was City-wide and in most cases extremely low, low, and moderate income, limited clientele, elderly, disabled or homeless persons were served. The City's housing programs were offered on scattered sites throughout the City. This year the City concentrated efforts in the Sulphur Springs Neighborhood and the East Tampa Neighborhoods for owner occupied rehabilitation where it is needed most.

### Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Federal dollars utilized by the City are leveraged by other federal, local, state and private funds in all four programs. The City was able to leverage the federal funds with over \$6,169,544 in private and local funds, \$580,094 in state SHIP funding, and \$1,965,663in ESG from non-federal, state, local and private sources in Program Year 2014.

The City assisted Rebuild Together Tampa Bay in securing funding from the Home Depot Foundation - \$250,000, JP Morgan Chase - \$500,000, Alleghany Foundation - \$250,000, CDC Neighborworks - \$500,000.

<u>HOPWA PROGRAM - There</u> are no match requirements for HOPWA funding. Providers across four counties leveraged \$1,354,106 through Ryan White, PAAC Waiver, Hillsborough County Health Department, In-Kind Resources, subreicipient Cash, and client payments directlyly to private landlords.

<u>CDBG PROGRAM -</u> While there are no match requirements for CDBG funding, the City encourages all of its funding agencies to provide sources of leveraging from Public Service and Public Facilities projects to owner occupied rehabilitation. This allows the funding to stretch farther and assist more people. A total of \$4,654,879 private and local dollars was leveraged with CDBG funding in PY 2014.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	\$4,021,547
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	\$471,207
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	\$4,492,754
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	\$114,334
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	\$4,378,420

Table 5 - Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

		Matcl	n Contributi	on for the F	ederal Fisca	l Year		
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contrib ution	Cash (non- Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraise d Land/Rea I Property	Required Infrastruc ture	Site Preparati on, Construct ion Materials , Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
2015	09/30/							
ETBCA	2015	105,564	0	0	0	0	0	\$105,564
2015	09/30/							
RTTB	2015	70,935	0	0	0	0	0	\$70,935
2015 Self	09/30/							
Reliance	2015	97,386	0	0	0	0	0	\$97,386
2015-	09/30/							
CFW	2015	197,322	0	0	0	0	0	\$197,322

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

# **HOME MBE/WBE report**

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	419,627	123,131	29,885	296,496

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period

or contracts i	of Holvic project	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	Total		<b>Minority Busin</b>	ess Enterprises	White Non-	
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	2,000,000	0	0	0	0	2,000,000
Number	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sub-Contract	S					
Number	3	0	0	1	1	1
Dollar						
Amount	69,555	0	0	27,592	20,000	21,963
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar						
Amount	2,000,000	0	2,000,000			
Number	1	0	1			
Sub-Contract	S					
Number	3	1	2			
Dollar						

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

69,555

Amount

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted

21,963

	Total		Minority Property Owners			White Non-
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar						
Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 - Minority Owners of Rental Property

**Relocation and Real Property Acquisition** – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired	5	0
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations		
Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily		
Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households	Total		<b>Minority Prope</b>	rty Enterprises		White Non-
Displaced		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non- Hispanic	Hispanic	Hispanic
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

### CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	3,840	1,246
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	680	462
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	50	10
Total	4,570	1,718

Table 11 - Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	378	462
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	10	11
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	40	26
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	3	15
Total	431	514

Table 12 - Number of Households Supported

# Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The City of Tampa achieved its objective of providing affordable housing. The number indicated in the table above is actually the 5 year goal and not the 1 year goal, based on the last Point-In-Time count this number is unrealistic for a one year goal. Based on the actual number which should be 768, the City almost doubled its goal. This year the City concentrated its efforts on providing assistance to homeless individuals and families. Stronger collaborations were developed to meet the objective.

### Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

As mentioned above, the City will assess the actuals versus goals stated in the Consolidated Plan to determine funding allocations as well as priorities for future Action Plans. Priority will be given to areas where we are not meeting the goals. Goals not met will be discussed for future allocations to reflect current needs.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	1130	25
Low-income	491	13
Moderate-income	554	8
Total	2.175	46

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

#### Narrative Information

City staff members continuously work with individuals and organizations to assess housing needs, identify plans of action, and to ensure that projects that are funded with federal dollars meet those needs and requirements.

The City continues to address the affordable housing needs through project funding, technical assistance and through the various programs offered for housing rehabilitation and development. This year the City has expanded its technical assistance to nonprofits by hosting quarterly meetings to discuss issues and help them build capacity. The City also participates in weekly Veteran Case Management meetings to support HUD's national effort to reach Functional Zero. All of these programs are targeted to benefit low, extremely low and moderate -income households. City staff, as well as partner agencies, income qualify all program beneficiaries to ensure that this population is served. In the Tampa Bay Area foreclosures continue to be a high priority need that effects this population. The City leverages resources by paying the staff salaries to support the Department of Treasury funded Hardest Hit Fund, as well as State SHIP funding.

# CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c) Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

# Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The highest priority of the Tampa/Hillsborough Continuum of Care is creating additional permanent housing beds for homeless Veterans.

The City, Hillsborough County and the Tampa Hillsborough Homeless Initiative have formed a greater bond by planning and successfully carrying out a community-wide event to rapidly rehouse homeless Veterans and provide wraparound services. The collaboration allowed for community partners to show their support and assist clients as a united front.

Future collaborations between the City, Hillsborough County and the Tampa Hillsborough Homeless Initiative are in the planning stages. Future collaborations will have a significant impact on how homeless services are carried out and the number of homeless individuals and families served.

### Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

The City has prioritized supporting the operating costs of emergency shelters, and homeless rapid rehousing assistance utilizing its Emergency Solutions Grant funds made available through the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act. ESG Project Sponsors, are coordinated in partnership with the Tampa Hillsborough Homeless Initiative, Hillsborough County Homeless Department, and selected homeless advocacy representatives. Matching funds are available from a variety of sources including the City of Tampa local government, private donations, in-kind contributions, etc.

The City provided ESG funding to support emergency shelter and rapid re-housing needs to the following partner organizations: Alpha House, The Spring, and the Housing Authority of the City of Tampa

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The City provided the following services to address the individual and families with children at imminent risk of becoming homeless:

- Provided foreclosure prevention counseling resulting in the prevention of homelessness through partnering agencies.
- Supported applications by social service providers that benefit the homeless or keep families

- from becoming homeless such as Alpha House, Metropolitan Ministries and Crisis Center of Tampa Bay.
- Supported funding applications to other entities (including Continuum of Care) by social service providers that benefit the homeless or keep families from becoming homeless.
- Supported funding applications to provide job training, employment services, daycare, vocational services, case management, drug prevention, independent living skills

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

THHI is the designated coordinator of the provision of homeless services in Hillsborough County. The City of Tampa is an active partner working with them and the Continuum of Care planning process to provide additional housing units and support services for homeless programs.

The City expended CDBG and ESG dollars to provide project support and public assistance programs. The City provides non-federal operating support to THHI annually, as well as assistance to other non-profit organizations to provide direct assistance to those who need it.

The City continues to seek ways to address Veteran's housing needs. In collaboration with THHI, local homeless Veterans not only received permanent housing assistance and support services, but also received household furniture.

### CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

### Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The City offers support through funding and provides technical assistance to Public Housing Authority (Tampa Housing Authority) and number of non-profit housing developers and housing providers. The City is a partner in the redevelopment efforts by the Tampa Housing Authority.

The Tampa Housing Authority (THA) is the primary provider of public housing units and subsidized housing programs in the City of Tampa. It is a separate legal entity controlled by a board of commissioners charged with the responsibility of helping to provide safe, decent, and sanitary housing to the low-income population. Rents are based on family size and total household income. While THA predominately manages conventional public housing units, their inventory has expanded to include Section 23 (leased), Section 8 existing, housing vouchers, and Section 8 new construction.

The City continues to have a positive, collaborative relationship with Tampa Housing Authority. The City is currently partnering with the Tampa Housing Authority on several other projects throughout the community to update current public housing units with rehabilitation (Meridian River), and demolish outdated units (West BankThe Trio is a 141 unit multifamily housing project designed to serve the elderly. The property was completed in 2015 with \$2 million of HOME funds.

# Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The City encourages public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership activities through the City's down payment (mortgage) assistance program. The City supports the Housing Authority's Center for Affordable Homeownership which provides homeownership counseling and technical assistance to potential home buyers. This allows applicants to access the City's down payment assistance program.

### Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

The Tampa Housing Authority is not designated as "troubled".

# CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The City continues to address the barriers of affordable housing on three distinct levels: actions to overcome public policy barriers, financial barriers, and discrimination barriers.

### **Public Policy Barriers**

The City continues to work to remove the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as tax policies, land use regulations, zoning laws, building codes, fees, and growth limits. The following is a list of the City of Tampa's affordable housing incentive strategies currently in place to encourage, preserve, and produce affordable housing, in order to assure safe, decent, and affordable housing for the City's extremely low, low, and moderate income households:

Incentive Strategy 1: Expedited processing of permits for affordable housing projects.

Incentive Strategy 2: The establishment of a process by which a local government considers, before adoption, policies, procedures, ordinances, regulations, or plan provisions that have a significant impact on the cost of housing.

#### Financial Barriers

Financial barriers to purchasing affordable housing exist as the result of the inability to obtain financing and to meet down payment requirements. These problems are typically linked to households with lower than average incomes. Many low-income families have excessive debt, deficient credit history, housing cost burden and insufficient savings for a down payment. During Program Year 2014 the City addressed these barriers by providing housing counseling to address budget and credit issues. In addition, the City provided down payment assistance which allowed 10 home buyers with HOME funds and 7 with SHIP funds. In Fiscal Year 2015 the Hardest Hit program through the State of Florida has allowed the City to assist additional clients to receive Mortgage Loan Reinstatement Program (MLRP) and Unemployment Mortgage Assistance Program (UMAP) funding, as well as Principal Reduction (PR) to avoid foreclosure.

Financial barriers also exist for homeowners who cannot afford to rehabilitate their homes when they face unsafe or substandard environments. Through the various Housing Rehabilitation programs using non-profit partnerships, the City provides low cost housing repair financing to homeowners who earn at or below 80% of the AMI. This assistance is provided through deferred payment loans and zero-interest loans. Low and very low-income households receive the highest priority for rehabilitation work.

### Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The primary obstacle to meeting underserved needs is the limited funding availability. Addressing affordable housing needs, homelessness, community development, and people with special needs, such as Veterans, have been and continue to be high priorities for the City of Tampa. In order to address the housing and community development needs of underserved citizens, the City of Tampa continues its focused efforts on home ownership, multi-family new construction and housing rehabilitation. The City'sMortgage Assistance Program utilizes HOME and SHIP funds to assist households who need assistance to purchase their own home.

The City has a lease with option to purchase program which allows renters to gradually take on ownership opportunities. This option is made available only to CHDO developers of single family housing that also provide credit counseling and supportive services to its clients.

The City's rehabilitation program and partnership with non-profit organizations such as The Centre for Women's Senior Housing Improvement Program, East Tampa Business and Civic Association, Self Reliance, Habitat for Humanity and Rebuilding Together Tampa Bay, enables those with a very low-income to have safe, livable homes again.

For those citizens with very low income that are unable to achieve home ownership, affordable multifamily rental housing options are available. The City has partnered with local agencies to construct new rental units and rehabilitate existing units.

Financing availability remains one of the main obstacles in addressing underserved needs of the area's residents. The City has recognized the rapid increase in the need for affordable housing and continues to provide programs to meet those needs. To address the need, the City is participating with Neighborhood Lending Partners (NLP) to develop a financing option for housing developers that build in minority census tracts. The program is supported through Wells Fargo, JP Morgan Chase, and other financial institutions.

### Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Removing all lead-based paint from every house within the City of Tampa is not an economically feasible or realistic goal without additional funding. The City applied for a Lead Hazard Reduction grant, but was not successful. The City will reapply next year and make the changes to the application suggested during the debriefing. The City continues to address lead hazards in housing by disseminating printed information concerning lead-based paint hazards to all residents in the City's housing rehabilitation program. The City's housing rehabilitation contractors are certified and/or have received training in the identification of lead hazards, proper methods of paint stabilization, interim control, abatement procedures and rehabilitation activities.

The Housing and Community Development Division funds homeownership and rehabilitation activities that are funded by HOME and CDBG funds. During the reporting period, any housing units using federal funds were paint tested if the potential project site was built prior to January 1, 1978. Lead hazard reduction or abatement actions are determined on project by project basis, and requirements are written into all subrecipient contract documents.

### Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Antipoverty impacts are inherent in the City's HUD Programs in Housing, Neighborhood Development and Elimination of Homelessness. The overriding goal of the City is to provide the environment and tools necessary to enable all City residents to realize economic stability. The City of Tampa's goal is to reduce the number of poverty level families by projects that will provide services to foster self-sufficiency, in conjunction with the provision of affordable housing, shelter and other public facilities. The City establishes these goals by targeting CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, ESG and local funds.

As a result of the City's support provided for job training, child care, vocational training and case management services, the number of poverty-level families has been reduced. The City continues to collaborate with nonprofit agencies to help them secure needed funding to help them expand their services.

### Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City has been successful in engaging the Tampa Housing Authority, local non-profits, national non-profits, financial institutions, and the private sector in the provision of housing and community development services and products. Emphasis is placed on partnership building with Governments, Not-for profit organizations, for profit housing entities, and lending institutions. The City feels that collaborations with these groups are beneficial to the City, non-profits, lending institutions, and the community as a whole. The City is also working closer with other municipalities to strengthen relationships, share best practices and collaborate on mutually beneficial projects that meet the needs of the community. The City, Hillsborough County and the Housing Authority of the City of Tampa released a joint RFP for housing first. As a result of this collaboration, a 48-unit affordable rental property was able to receive much needed rehabilitation with the City and County funding. The property also received project based vouchers from the Housing Authority of the City of Tampa. These units are just one example of the collaborative projects the City plans to implement moving forward.

# Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City of Tampa's Consolidated Plan/Annual Action Plan was developed through a collaborative effort of public, private, and non-profit organizations. This cooperation continues through the implementation of projects. Meetings, technical assistance, monitoring, capacity building, and collaborative efforts are all provided to each organization funded to ensure funds are properly expended and services are being provided according to the priority needs of the plan.

#### Public and Private Housing Agencies Coordination

The City offers support through funding and provides technical assistance to the Public Housing Authority (Tampa Housing Authority) and non-profit housing developers. Additionally, the City assisted East Tampa Business and Civic Association, CDC of Tampa and Rebuild Together Tampa Bay to secure additional funding for affordable housing development and operations. The City partnered with Rebuild Together Tampa Bay to develop new affordable housing in the Sulphur Springs neighborhood. The City worked with four housing counseling agencies to expand homeownership opportunities through prepurchase counseling for area residents. The City provides CHDO capacity building by supporting local organizations to participate in HOME funded progams.

#### Social Service Agencies

The City consults with a variety of entities to proactively develop a long-range strategic plan to address the supportive service and housing issues of special needs persons including those with HIV/AIDS. The City also provides technical assistance workshops to build capacity of non-profit social service agencies and non-profit housing corporations. During FY15/PY14 the City has worked closely with Hillsborough County and the Tampa Hillsborough Homeless Initiative to assist the two community providers of Support Services to Veteran Families (SSVF), Tampa Crossroads, Inc. and the Society of St. Vincent de Pual, South Pinellas, Inc. Through the collaborative effort and coordination, our community is pushing forward to end Veteran homelessness and will soon declare Functional Zero for this population.

# Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

To address the <u>lack of extensive amounts of undeveloped land</u>, the City encourages redevelopment and infill development in the City. This is being accomplished by using the City's redevelopment powers provided in the nine Community Redevelopment Areas to identify, acquire and develop sites for affordable housing. Particular emphasis will be for sites that are located along or near transit corridors. The City works closely with the Tampa Housing Authority to develop their vacant properties, as opportunities and resources become available, to create mixed-income communities. The Encore project is a prime example of infill affordable mixed use housing.

To address the <u>high development fees</u>, lengthy turnaround time of permitting and unnecessary regulations / procedure. The permitting process is now automated and the turnaround time is faster than in the past. The City works with the home builders association and other trade professionals to develop a user friendly system that allow for an expedited process. The high costs of development are linked primarily to fees outside the City's control. By encouraging affordable housing development on infill lots, developers are able to recognize reduced fees, as many of the impact costs are not applied when using existing infrastructure.

To address the <u>lack of financing</u> for potential home buyers and those wishing to renovate their homes, the City provides first-time home buyer and owner-occupied rehabilitation assistance programs. The City encourages residents to work with housing counseling agencies. To fully engage the community in this effort, the City has engaged HUD approved housing counseling agencies to provide pre-purchase cousenling and assist potential homebuyers to improve their credit scores, resulting in better access to financing. To address <u>language barriers & cultural differences</u> that might act as an impediment to housing, the City will continue its education program aimed at reaching Hispanic populations and others ethnic origins. All of the area housing counseling agencies offer services in English and Spanish. HCD offers program information in English and Spanish as well as encourages all housing partners to offer bilingual services or at a minimum to utilize the Florida Relay makes it easy for Spanish-speaking and English-speaking Florida Relay users to call one another by phone. All call types processed through Florida Relay are also available in Spanish. This includes TTY, VCO, HCO, and STS.

In addition to Spanish-to-Spanish relay, Florida Relay also offers English-to-Spanish and Spanish-to-English translation 24/7 365 days a year. In order for a Relay call to be translated, callers must request a Spanish CA when dialing 711 or dial the Florida Relay Spanish number directly at (877) 955-8773.

## CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Minority Business Outreach- The City of Tampa has in place Ordinance 26.5, Women and Minority Business Enterprises and Equal Employment Opportunity. The provisions of this ordinance apply to (1) contracts exceeding \$10,000 when a contractor has fifteen (15) or more employees; and (2) all contracts exceeding \$50,000. The ordinance states that "no applicable contract, under section 26.5-172, shall be executed on behalf of the City unless at least one (1) of the following requirements is met":

- The contractor can demonstrate his/her workforce reflects local labor pool demographics.
- The contractor can demonstrate good faith efforts to comply with section 26.5-176, and has an existing affirmative action program to be submitted and approved by the director.
- The department certifies in writing to the director that an emergency exists and no contractor with an applicable workforce that reflects local labor pool demographics or an affirmative action program approved by the director is immediately available.

The ordinance also requires that the contractor agree to comply with the City's equal employment opportunity clause and to include this requirement in all subcontracts. Notice of these requirements is included in any contract/award specifications published, requiring bidders to submit documentation as a part of their bid documents. The housing development request for proposals for HOME and SHIP funds encouraged that each respondent ensure that 25% of their contracts and/or subcontracts are awarded to WMBEs. Respondents certified their intent and will be monitored upon receipt of funding.

**Comprehensive Planning Requirements-** Reporting requirements, public hearings, public notices and Citizen participation are all coordinated and completed by the Housing and Community Development office with assistance from the Budget office of the City of Tampa. Reporting requirements include:

- WMBE report- 40107
- Davis Bacon Report
- Section 3
- Action Plan/IDIS
- CAPER/IDIS

Please see Attachment 4 for the complete Monitoring report.

### Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

# Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The City of Tampa provides public notices of meetings, Action Plan, Request for Proposals, and CAPER through the newspaper, City website, internal posting and direct notification to those on the City's interested parties list. Throughout the year, City staff attendsed community functions, neighborhood meetings, and nonprofit events, to solicit public inputThe Housing and Community Development Division continues to inform other City staff, community partners and the public through the distribution of the Division Newsletter which is released quarterly. The newsletter provides Divison highlights, introuduces community providers and provides a calendar of upcoming Division and partner agency events. The City has also begun to have lunch and learns to ensure that all City staff are informed of the City's housing programs and are able to provide the community with a referral to the Housing and Community Development Division for further information.

### CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The City of Tampa has experienced a change in staffing, policies and procedures, and an overall philosophy that CDBG funds should be used to move people and organizations forward; otherwise, the limited funding available is not meeting its intended purpose. Due to the overwhelming needs of the community for services, affordable housing, owner occupied rehabilitation assistance and other programs to assist with basic housing needs, the priority needs must be met with the finite resources available.

As a result the City changed the process by which many of the programs are implemented, began to hold quarterly meetings with the providers of each service area and routinely work with community partners to improve and reduce barriers. Additionally, the City now requires more qualitative reports that document outcome measures more clearly. This information is used to better determine which agencies are most effective and where funding should be focused.

Like other jurisdictions, the City of Tampa has seen a reduction in CDBG and other federal funding. As a result, funding allocations are limited to the highest need priorities and provided to those organizations with the ability to assist those populations and move the community forward.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development	No
Initiative (BEDI) grants?	

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

### CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

It is the intent to provide onsite monitoring annually for all HOME funded projects and for those with an extended affordability period. During the on-site visit, the City of Tampa completes a HQS inspection on at least 10% of the HOME units to ensure that the project continues to meet and be maintained in accordance with HUD and HQS property standards. These records are maintained and reviewed at the time of the onsite monitoring indicating HOME compliance. A minimum of 20% of tenant files are reviewed to ensure initial and ongoing tenant eligibility. The City of Tampa requires project sponsors to certify tenant's income annually. During the on-site monitoring, the most common findings were general income calculation errors. Income inclusions and exclusions were not well understood.

The following on-site monitorings took place during PY2014

Columbus Arms- Affordability Period and HQS final

The Trio- Initial monitoring

CDC of Tampa- HOME CHDO program

ETBCA - HOME CHDO program

Davis Bacon compliance and construction monitoring was conducted prior to reimbursing subrecipients for each construction draw request made for the Tampa Housing Authority Trio Project.

Please see Attachment 4 for complete monitoring report.

# Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

The following is a description of the City's affirmative marketing policy for Project Sponsors who receive funding from the HOME Investment Partnership Program:

The Project Sponsor will affirmatively market any unit available for rent/sale in a manner to attract tenants or homebuyers without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, religion, familial status or disability. The Project Sponsor agrees, in soliciting tenants/buyers, to do the following:

- a) Use the Equal Housing Opportunity logo in all advertising;
- b) Display a Fair Housing poster in the rental and sales office;

- c) Where appropriate, advertise, use media, including minority outlets, likely to reach persons least likely to apply for the housing, and translated into Spanish/other language if needed;
- d) Maintain files of Project Sponsor's affirmative marketing activities for five (5) years and provide access thereto to City staff;
- e) Not refrain from renting to any tenant holding a Section 8 Existing Housing Certificate, except for good cause, such as previous failure to pay rent and/or to maintain a rental unit, or the tenant's violation of other terms and conditions of tenancy;
  - f) Comply with Section 8 Existing Housing Regulations when renting to any Section 8 tenant;
  - g) Exercise affirmative marketing of the units when vacated; and
- h) Verify all information concerning the applicant, or family members, which may be obtained from any source by the Project Sponsor, or its assignees or designees.

The Affirmative Marketing action for specific projects is enforced through contractual obligations and monitored annually for compliance.

# Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Per IDIS PR09, the City receipted a total of \$419,627 of HOME program income in Program Year 2014. Total drawn is \$123,131. The funds were expended on one (1) owner occupied rehabilitation activities, three (3) CHDO single family rehabilitation for sale activities, and Tenant Based Rental Assistance payments. All funds drawn were utilized to benefit families at or below 80% of AMI.

# Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The City of Tampa staff work with for profit and non-profit partnering agencies, including the Tampa Housing Authority, Hillsborough County, The Tampa Hillsborough Homeless Intitative, builders, developers and individual agencies to foster and maintain affordable housing. During this program year the City worked to strengthen these relationships and assisted several partners to secure additional funding to promote affordable housing. Working with the Tampa Housing Authority to develop the West River project. The City, Hillsborough County and the Housing Authority of the City of Tampa released a joint RFP for housing first. As a result of this collaboration, a 48-unit affordable rental property was able to receive much needed rehabilitation with the City and County funding. The property also received project based vouchers from the Housing Authority of the City of Tampa. These units are just one example of the collaborative projects the City plans to implement moving forward.

### CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

### Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance		
to prevent homelessness of the individual or		
family	60	25
Tenant-based rental assistance	325	309
Units provided in permanent housing facilities		
developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA		
funds	98	56
Units provided in transitional short-term housing		
facilities developed, leased, or operated with		
HOPWA funds	0	0
Total	430	0

Table 14 - HOPWA Number of Households Served

### **Narrative**

During PY14, a total of 56 households were housed in facility-based supportive housing; 309 households were housed with tenant-based rental assistance; and 334 households with HIV/AIDS and their affected family members were provided non-housing related supportive services that included mental health and substance abuse counseling, day care, nutritional services, transportation, and assistance in gaining access to local, State and Federal government benefits and services. When including all persons served, a total of 724 households were served with support services and housing. Housing information on housing for persons with HIV/AIDS may be provided to anyone, regardless of HIV/AIDS and income status. *Note:* <u>all HOPWA program participants receive supportive services provided by HOPWA housing providers. Francis House, Inc. is not a direct HOPWA housing provider, however does provide supportive services separately.</u>

# CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

### ESG Supplement to the CAPER in e-snaps

### **For Paperwork Reduction Act**

### 1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

**Basic Grant Information** 

Recipient Name TAMPA
Organizational DUNS Number 059071860
EIN/TIN Number 591101138
Indentify the Field Office JACKSONVILLE

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or Tampa/Hillsborough County CoC

subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance

**ESG Contact Name** 

Prefix

First Name Vanessa

Middle Name

Last Name McCleary

Suffix

Title Manager, Housing and Community Development

**ESG Contact Address** 

Street Address 1 306 E. Jackson St. 3N

**Street Address 2** 

City Tampa
State FL
ZIP Code -

**Phone Number** 8132747992

Extension

**Fax Number** 

Email Address Vanessa.Mccleary@tampagov.net

**ESG Secondary Contact** 

PrefixMsFirst NameQianaLast NameDaughtry

Suffix

Title Community Development Coordinator

**Phone Number** 8132747998

**Extension** 

Email Address qiana.daughtry@tampagov.net

### 2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 10/01/2014 Program Year End Date 09/30/2015

### 3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Alpha House of Tampa, Inc.

City: Tampa State: FL

**Zip Code:** 33609, 3231 **DUNS Number:** 838471951

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$86,263

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Spring of Tampa Bay, Inc.

City: Tampa State: FL

**Zip Code:** 33677, 4772 **DUNS Number:** 619764475

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$45,000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Housing Authority of the City of Tampa

City: Tampa State: FL

**Zip Code: 33607** 

**DUNS Number:** 0256373560000

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$87,509

### **CR-65 - Persons Assisted**

### 4. Persons Served

## 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 15 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

## 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in	Total
Households	
Adults	49
Children	80
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	129

Table 16 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

# 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in	Total	
Households		
Adults	648	
Children	598	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0	
Missing Information	10	
Total	1246	

Table 17 – Shelter Information

### 4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in	Total	
Households		
Adults	19	
Children	15	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0	
Missing Information	0	
Total	34	

Table 18 – Household Information for Street Outreach

### 4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in	Total	
Households		
Adults	697	
Children	678	
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0	
Missing Information	0	
Total	1375	

Table 19 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

# 5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	371
Female	1004
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1375

**Table 20 - Gender Information** 

# 6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	677
18-24	172
25 and over	526
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	1,375

Table 21 – Age Information

# 7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

### **Number of Persons in Households**

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	6	0	2	4
Victims of Domestic Violence	1,213	0	30	1,183
Elderly	9	0	0	9
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	2	0	0	2
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally III	1	0	0	1
Chronic Substance Abuse	1	0	0	1
Other Disability	260	0	0	260
Total (unduplicated if possible)	1,219	0	32	1,187

Table 22 – Special Population Served

### CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

#### 10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	49,314
Total Number of bed-nights provided	39,098
Capacity Utilization	79.00%

Table 23 - Shelter Capacity

# 11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

### **Alpha House**

Alpha House of Tampa is the only licensed Maternity Home in Hillsborough County. Through Transitional Housing they provide onsite supportive services including financial benefits. Their residential services are specifically for homeless, pregnant women and their children. During the PY2014 Alpha House was able to provide 6,783bed nights to adult clients from the 9,692 available bed nights. They provided a 70% utilization rate. Due to staff turnover and needed repairs, utilization during this operating year was reduced.

#### The Spring of Tampa Bay, Inc.

The mission of The Spring of Tampa Bay, Inc. is to prevent domestic violence, protect victims and promote change in lives, families and communities. The Spring is the Department of Children and Families certified Domestic Violence Center for Hillsborough County. The Spring's programs and services help victims of domestic violence rebuild their lives and raise awareness to prevent domestic violence from occurring. The Spring of Tampa, Inc. provided 32,315 bed nights during the course of their agreement for a 81.5% capacity utilization.

### The Housing Authority of the City of Tampa

The Housing Authority of the City of Tampa provided 129 individuals and family with Rapid Re-Housing Assistance with a utilization of 100% of their funds awarded for the FY15/PY14 contract year. ESG funds were used to pay rental and utility arrears, rental and utility security deposits and application fees.

# **CR-75 – Expenditures**

# 11. Expenditures

## 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2012	2013	2014	
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and				
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &				
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0	
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under				
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0	
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	0	0	0	

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

# 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2012	2013	2014	
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	\$84,168	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and				
Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0	
Expenditures for Housing Relocation &				
Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0	
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under				
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0	
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	0	\$84,168	

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

# 11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year			
	2012	2013	2014		
Essential Services	0	\$22,236	0		
Operations	0	\$90,498	\$131,263		
Renovation	0	0	0		
Major Rehab	0	0	0		
Conversion	0	0	0		
Subtotal	0	\$112,734	\$131,263		

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

## 11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount	of Expenditures in	Program Year
	2012	2013	2014
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	0	0	0
Street Outreach	0	0	0

**Table 27 - Other Grant Expenditures** 

#### 11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2012	2013	2014	
0	0	112,734	\$215,431	

**Table 28 - Total ESG Funds Expended** 

## 11f. Match Source Waiting for AH numbers to be added to totals

	2012	2013	2014
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	\$229,018	\$92,643
Other Federal Funds	0	\$280,791.88	\$108,205
State Government	0	\$475,595.61	\$162,315
Local Government	0	\$475,806.44	\$33,366
Private Funds	0	\$845,412.43	\$155,191
Other	0	\$144,219	\$16,969
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	0	\$2,450,843.36	\$568,689

Table 29 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

#### 11g. Total Waiting for AH numbers to be added to totals

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2012	2013	2014
0	0	\$2,563,575	\$784,120

Table 30 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

# Attachment 2 -PR26 Report

	Integrated Disbursement and Information System	PAGE: 1
<b>% ^         </b>	PR26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report	
1111111	Program Year 2014	
SAN DEVIN	TAMPA , FL	
Grantee		TAMPA , FL
Program Year		2014
PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG		
	AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT		2,630,580.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL		0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED		0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM I		27,930.04
	08 PROGRAM INCOME (FOR SI TYPE)	0.00
06 FUNDS RETURNED TO THE LI		0.00
06a FUNDS RETURNED TO THE L		0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE		0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LIN	•	2,658,510.04
PART II: SUMMARY OF COB		
	AN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	1,033,550.47
	TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
•	MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	1,033,550.47
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLA		310,901.87
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SEC		423,261.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE		0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM	•	1,767,713.34
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LIN		890,796.70
PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT		
17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD H		0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD M		0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOV		933,550.47
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE		0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (S		933,550.47
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT		90.32%
LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MUL		
23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVE		PY: PY: PY:
	URES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	0.00
	S BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	0.00
	MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	0.00%
PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (F		
27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUB		317,371.91
	IONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
	IONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE		0.00
· ·	NE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	317,371.91
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT		2,630,580.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCO		83,542.64
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE		0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP		2,714,122.64
	FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	11.69%
PART V: PLANNING AND ADI		
37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLA		310,901.87
-	TONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
•	IONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	0.00
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE		0.00
	NE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	310,901.87
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT		2,630,580.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM I		27,930.04
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE		0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP		2,658,510.04
	FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	11.69%
LINE	17 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER O	N LINE 17

Report returned no data.

Office of Community Planning and Development

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

DATE:

TIME:

12-01-15

15:13

#### LINE 18 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES TO CONSIDER IN DETERMINING THE AMOUNT TO ENTER ON LINE 18

Diam Vaan	IDIS Project	IDIS	Activity Name	Matrix	National	
Plan Year	IDIS Project	Activity	Activity Name	Code	Objective	Drawn Amount
2013	28	3044	Meridian River	14C	LMH	\$100,000.00
				14C	Matrix Code	\$100,000.00
Total					_	\$100,000.00

#### LINE 19 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 19

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	19	3081	5847779	Alpha House - Rehabilitation	03C	LMC	\$30,000.00
					03C	Matrix Code	\$30,000.00
2014	20	3082	5794595	Metropolitan Ministries - Youth Center	03D	LMC	\$165,105.82
2014	20	3082	5820271	Metropolitan Ministries - Youth Center	03D	LMC	\$34,894.18
					03D	Matrix Code	\$200,000.00
2012	8	2974	5826528	Perry Harvey Park	03F	LMA	\$10,353.51
2012	8	2974	5849940	Perry Harvey Park	03F	LMA	\$55,060.28
2012	8	2974	5860915	Perry Harvey Park	03F	LMA	\$98,601.11
					03F	Matrix Code	\$164,014.90
2014	8	3072	5802719	Alpha House - Public Service	05	LMC	\$12,437.70
2014	8	3072	5811615	Alpha House - Public Service	05	LMC	\$12,437.70
2014	8	3072	5847779	Alpha House - Public Service	05	LMC	\$12,306.80
2014	10	3073	5794595	Catholic Charities Mercy House - PS	05	LMC	\$5,673.80
2014	10	3073	5828149	Catholic Charities Mercy House - PS	05	LMC	\$8,920.92
2014	10	3073	5860856	Catholic Charities Mercy House - PS	05	LMC	\$14,673.43
2014	11	3076	5820271	Computer Mentors PS	05	LMC	\$40,000.00
2014	13	3077	5794595	Crisis Center of Tampa Bay - PS	05	LMC	\$7,985.12
				• •	05	Matrix Code	\$114,435.47
2013	19	3036	5752295	Senior in Service	05A	LMC	\$4,535.00
2013	19	3036	5762426	Senior in Service	05A	LMC	\$2,390.00
					05A	Matrix Code	\$6,925.00
2014	16	3079	5783825	Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind - PS	05B	LMC	\$8,749.98
2014	16	3079	5820272	Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind - PS	05B	LMC	\$8,749.98
2014	16	3079	5837602	Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind - PS	05B	LMC	\$8,749.98
					05B	Matrix Code	\$26,249.94
2013	20	3037	5752295	Tampa Bay Academy of Hope	05D	LMC	\$3,325.00
2013	21	3038	5752295	Tampa Bay CFDC	05D	LMC	\$1,229.00
2013	24	3041	5752295	Oaks at Riverview	05D	LMC	\$8,333.32
2014	14	3078	5794595	Metropolitan Ministries Teen Program	05D	LMC	\$45,000.00
2014	15	3075	5794595	Champions for Children - PS	05D	LMC	\$8,873.85
2014	15	3075	5828149	Champions for Children - PS	05D	LMC	\$11,129.71
2014	15	3075	5847779	Champions for Children - PS	05D	LMC	\$12,077.85
				·	05D	Matrix Code	\$89,968.73
2014	12	3074	5828149	CDC of Tampa Career Resource Center PS	053	LMC	\$25,210.21
2014	12	3074	5860856	CDC of Tampa Career Resource Center PS	05J	LMC	\$13,102.76
					053	Matrix Code	\$38,312.97
2013	15	3030	5752295	Cornerstone Child Care Center	05L	LMC	\$6,906.00
					05L	Matrix Code	
2013	5	3012	5794584	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$2,306.72
2013	5	3012	5802718	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$13,450.56
2013	5	3012	5811916	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$12,525.13
2013	5	3012	5846877	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$2,425.50
2013	5	3012	5861059	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$3,865.89
	_			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	05U	Matrix Code	\$34,573.80
2013	26	3047	5752295	Rebuilding Together Tampa Bay	14A	LMH	\$18,658.46
2013	26	3047	5762426	Rebuilding Together Tampa Bay	14A	LMH	\$11,409.46
2013	26	3047	5777634	Rebuilding Together Tampa Bay	14A	LMH	\$16,114.51
2014	17	3094	5847779	Owner Rehab RTTB	14A	LMH	\$9,800.00
2014	17	3094	5861320	Owner Rehab RTTB	14A	LMH	\$19,437.69
	**				14A	Matrix Code	\$75,420.12
				CARER			+,

2012	-	2061	F770664	Harris and Community Development	1411	LAMIL	*** 674 **
2012	5	2961	5770604	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMH	\$11,674.12
2012	5	2961	5783297	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMH	\$4,492.00
2012	5	2961	5794576	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMH	\$11,770.67
2012	5	2961	5836486	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMH	\$7,121.28
2014	4	3084	5783823	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$391.62
2014	4	3084	5794595	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$243.34
2014	4	3084	5802719	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$94.99
2014	4	3084	5811615	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$86.00
2014	4	3084	5820271	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$9,430.80
2014	4	3084	5820272	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$342.84
2014	4	3084	5828149	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$7,326.11
2014	4	3084	5837602	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$8,664.78
2014	4	3084	5847779	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$1,769.24
2014	4	3084	5860856	Housing and Community Development	14H	LMC	\$12,960.75
					14H	Matrix Code	\$76,368.54
2014	6	3058	5794595	Housing Counseling - CDC of Tampa	14J	LMH	\$2,850.00
2014	6	3058	5828149	Housing Counseling - CDC of Tampa	14J	LMH	\$2,750.00
2014	6	3058	5847779	Housing Counseling - CDC of Tampa	14J	LMH	\$4,750.00
2014	6	3088	5802719	Housing Counseling - Solitas House	14J	LMH	\$4,525.00
2014	6	3088	5811615	Housing Counseling - Solitas House	14J	LMH	\$5,925.00
2014	6	3088	5837602	Housing Counseling - Solitas House	14J	LMH	\$5,425.00
2014	6	3089	5820271	Housing Counseling - Tampa Bay CDC	14J	LMH	\$7,300.00
2014	6	3090	5802719	Housing Counseling - THA	14J	LMH	\$3,250.00
2014	6	3090	5811615	Housing Counseling - THA	14J	LMH	\$4,550.00
2014	6	3090	5837602	Housing Counseling - THA	14J	LMH	\$5,050.00
					143	Matrix Code	\$46,375.00
2012	37	3057	5794580	Workforce Development	18A	LMJ	\$13,000.00
2012	37	3057	5811841	Workforce Development	18A	LMJ	\$11,000.00
					18A	Matrix Code	\$24,000.00
Total							\$933,550.47

LINE 27 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 27

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucher Number	Activity Name	Matrix Code	National Objective	Drawn Amount
2014	8	3072	5802719	Alpha House - Public Service	05	LMC	\$12,437.70
2014	8	3072	5811615	Alpha House - Public Service	05	LMC	\$12,437.70
2014	8	3072	5847779	Alpha House - Public Service	05	LMC	\$12,306.80
2014	10	3073	5794595	Catholic Charities Mercy House - PS	05	LMC	\$5,673.80
2014	10	3073	5828149	Catholic Charities Mercy House - PS	05	LMC	\$8,920.92
2014	10	3073	5860856	Catholic Charities Mercy House - PS	05	LMC	\$14,673.43
2014	11	3076	5820271	Computer Mentors PS	05	LMC	\$40,000.00
2014	13	3077	5794595	Crisis Center of Tampa Bay - PS	05	LMC	\$7,985.12
					05	Matrix Code	\$114,435.47
2013	19	3036	5752295	Senior in Service	05A	LMC	\$4,535.00
2013	19	3036	5762426	Senior in Service	05A	LMC	\$2,390.00
					05A	Matrix Code	\$6,925.00
2014	16	3079	5783825	Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind - PS	05B	LMC	\$8,749.98
2014	16	3079	5820272	Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind - PS	05B	LMC	\$8,749.98
2014	16	3079	5837602	Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind - PS	05B	LMC	\$8,749.98
					05B	Matrix Code	\$26,249.94
2013	20	3037	5752295	Tampa Bay Academy of Hope	05D	LMC	\$3,325.00
2013	21	3038	5752295	Tampa Bay CFDC	05D	LMC	\$1,229.00
2013	24	3041	5752295	Oaks at Riverview	05D	LMC	\$8,333.32
2014	14	3078	5794595	Metropolitan Ministries Teen Program	05D	LMC	\$45,000.00
2014	15	3075	5794595	Champions for Children - PS	05D	LMC	\$8,873.85
2014	15	3075	5828149	Champions for Children - PS	05D	LMC	\$11,129.71
2014	15	3075	5847779	Champions for Children - PS	05D	LMC	\$12,077.85
					05D	Matrix Code	\$89,968.73

2014	12	3074	5828149	CDC of Tampa Career Resource Center PS	05J	LMC	\$25,210.21
2014	12	3074	5860856	CDC of Tampa Career Resource Center PS	05J	LMC	\$13,102.76
					053	Matrix Code	\$38,312.97
2013	15	3030	5752295	Cornerstone Child Care Center	05L	LMC	\$6,906.00
					05L	Matrix Code	\$6,906.00
2013	5	3012	5794584	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$2,306.72
2013	5	3012	5802718	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$13,450.56
2013	5	3012	5811916	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$12,525.13
2013	5	3012	5846877	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$2,425.50
2013	5	3012	5861059	Housing and Community Development - FY2014	05U	LMC	\$3,865.89
					05U	Matrix Code	\$34,573.80
Total						_	\$317,371.91

#### LINE 37 DETAIL: ACTIVITIES INCLUDED IN THE COMPUTATION OF LINE 37

Plan Year	IDIS Project	IDIS Activity	Voucner	Activity Name Code O		National	Drawn Amount
2012	6	2962	5770321	Housing Financial Coordination	20		\$23,058.31
2012	6	2962	5780454	Housing Financial Coordination	20		\$354.65
					20	Matrix Code	\$23,412.96
2013	6	3013	5752295	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$21,669.69
2013	6	3013	5762426	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$7,137.86
2013	6	3013	5777634	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$33,035.83
2013	6	3013	5794584	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$27,165.18
2013	6	3013	5802718	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$15,480.51
2013	6	3013	5820270	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$21,560.82
2013	6	3013	5828069	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$18,256.56
2013	6	3013	5837601	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		
2013	6	3013	5846877	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$16,255.33
2013	6	3013	5861059	Housing Financial Coordination - FY2014	21A		\$22,793.16
2014	1	3070	5783824	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$9,829.16
2014	1	3070	5794595	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$5,507.69
2014	1	3070	5802719	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$16,494.79
2014	1	3070	5811615	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$1,155.76
2014	1	3070	5820271	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$4,441.19
2014	1	3070	5828149	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$1,254.01
2014	1	3070	5837602	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$5,286.41
2014	1	3070	5847779	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$3,095.02
2014	1	3070	5860856	CDBG General Admin and Audit	21A		\$2,184.35
					21A	Matrix Code	\$250,188.91
2014	2	3071	5783824	Cost Allocation	21B		\$12,433.32
2014	2	3071	5794595	Cost Allocation	21B		\$3,108.33
2014	2	3071	5802719	Cost Allocation	21B		\$3,108.33
2014	2	3071	5811615	Cost Allocation	21B		\$3,108.33
2014	2	3071	5820271	Cost Allocation	21B		\$3,108.33
2014	2	3071	5828149	Cost Allocation	21B		\$3,108.33
2014	2	3071	5837602	Cost Allocation	21B		\$3,108.33
2014	2	3071	5847779	Cost Allocation	21B		\$3,108.33
2014	2	3071	5860856	Cost Allocation	21B		\$3,108.37
					21B	Matrix Code	\$37,300.00
Total						_	\$310,901.87

# **Attachment 3 – Legal Ad and Public Comments**

CENTRO	RO.						
Client	CITY OF TAMPA HOUSING AND	HOUSING AND	Payor Customer	CITY OF	CITY OF TAMPA HOUSING AND		Ad Content Proof
Client Phone	813-274-7944		Payor Phone	813-274-7944	944	rmarchis	LEGAL NOTICE NOTICE OF SUBMISSION
Account# Address	1020710 COMMUNITY DEVELOR TAMPA FL 33602 USA	Payor Account COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION,3 Payor Address TAMPA FL 33602 USA	Payor Account Payor Address	1020710 COMMUNITY DE TAMPA FL 33602	1020710 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVIS Ordered By TAMPA FL 33602	DIVIS Ordered By MICHELLE BOONE	CITY OF TAMPA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM, EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS PROGRAM AND HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES E. FOR DESCANS WITH AND CONSONINATED ANNINITY
Fax EMail	Michelle.Boone@ci.tampa.fl.us	ci.tampa.fl.us					FOR FRANKING AND SURJOURNED ARRUPALE PROFIT FOR THE 2014 PROGRAM YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015
Total Amount Payment Amt	\$352.35 \$0.00		Status		Mai	Materials	Notice is hereby given that on December 30, 2015 the City of Tampa will submit to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development a Conment of Montaing and Urban Development a Con-
Amount Due	\$352.35	Tear Sheets 0	Proofs 0	Affidavits 1	PO Number NOTICE OF SUBMISS	Blind Box ISS	solioared Annual Performance and Evaluation ke- port (GAPER) for the Community Development Block Grant Program, HOME Investment Partnerships Program, Emergency Shelter Grants
Payment Method Text: Order Notes:	d NOTICE OF SUBI	1 NOTICE OF SUBMISSION / TRIB LOG# 5184	# 5184				Program, and Housing Opportunities for Persons With Albs. This report consists of a summary of activities undertaken, a financial summary and the progress achieved in the programs through September 30, 2015.
Ad Number 0003555542-01	-	Ad Type CLP Legal Liner	Color <none></none>		Product	Production Color	A copy of this Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report is available at the Housing and Community Development Office Incated at 2016
Pick Up Number	_	Ad Size 1.0 X 41 Li	Production Method AdBooker (liner)	ethod ner)	Producti	Production Notes	E. Jackson St. 3M, Tampa, Ft. 33802 where it may be examined by the general public during regular business hours from December 9, 2015 until Debugges.
Product Run Schedule Invoice Text	nvoice Text	Placement/Class	Pos	Position	#Ins	#Inserts Cost	cember 23, 2015. Comments may be made by calling Vanessa McCleary (813) 274-7955, or by e-mail Vanessa.McCleary @tampagov.net.
Run Dates Tag Line							Bob Buckhorn, Mayor 306 East Jackson Street Tanna Florida 33602
Tampa Tribune CLP∷	CLP::	_Legal Ads	-Le	gal Notices-Lo	_Legal Notices-Legal-CLP Class	1 \$34	\$342.35 #5184 12/08/2015
LEGAL NOTIC	E NOTICE OF SUENOTICEOFSUBA	JBMISSION CITY OF MISSIONCITYOFTAME	TAMPA COMMUN PACOMMUNITYD	NITY DEVELO EVELOPMEN	PMENT BLOCK GRATBLOCK GRATBLOCKGRANTPRO	LEGAL NOTICE NOTICE OF SUBMISSION CITY OF TAMPA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM, HOME INVE LEGALNOTICENOTICEOFSUBMISSIONCITYOFTAMPACOMMUNITYDEVELOPMENTBLOCKGRANTPROGRAMHOMEINVESTMENTF	

CAPER 43

No public comments received.

# **Attachment 4 – Monitoring Report**

The City of Tampa ensures compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements by incorporating approved accounting procedures, providing internal and external audits, as well as performs desk and on-site monitoring, to ensure all HUD and State requirements are completed. City policies and procedures have been developed for all HUD programs to ensure compliance.

The City develops a monitoring schedule and a monitoring plan for each activity to include desk monitoring, performance reporting, and on-site reviews. The schedule is determined by the results of a project risk assessment and program requirements. Prior to the beginning of the contract period, staff schedules onsite technical assistance and training to each subrecipients to ensure all reporting requirements are well understood. It is the intent to monitor all projects on site at least once per year throughout the affordability period/minimum use periods, however based on the results of the risk assessment and scheduling, some monitorings may occur less often. As a result of the monitoring activities completed, several administrative and procedural revisions were required. Various organizations were encouraged to make improvements in their internal operations and were provided with guidance and technical assistance accordingly.

#### FY15/PY14 Action Plan Activities

#### CDBG

The CDBG funded activities for PY14 included funding for 11 agencies to provide various public service activities, and 3 agencies to complete construction related activities to public facilities that provide supportive functions for their clientele. Davis Bacon compliance and construction monitoring was conducted prior to reimbursing subrecipients for each construction draw request made for the City of Tampa's applicable CDBG funded capital improvement projects including: Alpha House, Metropolitan Ministries and Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind. Alpha House replaced their HVAC unit, Metropolitan Ministries constructed a new youth facility and Tampa Lighthouse for the Blind installed handicapped accessible doors and a new parking lot. With the City's partnership with these agencies, eligible City of Tampa residents received services that enhance the services residents received from our community partners.

The City of Tampa also provides ongoing technical assistance to any agency receiving CDBG funding as requested, to ensure compliance with all CDBG regulations.

#### **ESG Monitoring**

The City of Tampa provides ongoing technical assistance to each agency receiving Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funds. Monitoring visits were made to Alpha House and Tampa Housing Authority to determine that clients receiving assistance were income eligible and met the HUD definition of homelessness as defined in 24 CFR 576.2.

The Spring of Tampa Bay provides safe haven, emergency shelter, and supportive services to victims of domestic violence utilizing ESG funds. Due to confidentiality and safety reasons, the monitoring report from the Florida Coalition Against Domestic Violence was provided to the City of Tampa for our review to ensure compliance of program guidelines.

#### HOME

It is the intent to provide onsite monitoring annually for all HOME funded projects and for those with an extended affordability period. During the on-site visit, the City of Tampa completes a HQS inspection on at least 10% of the units to ensure that the project continues to meet and be maintained in accordance with HUD and HQS property standards. These records are maintained and reviewed at the time of the onsite monitoring indicating HOME compliance. A minimum of 20 % of tenant files are reviewed to ensure initial and ongoing tenant eligibility. The City of Tampa requires project sponsors to certify tenant's income annually. During the on-site monitoring, the most common findings were general income calculation errors. Income inclusions and exclusions were not well understood.

Davis Bacon compliance and construction monitoring was conducted prior to reimbursing subrecipients for each construction draw request made for the Tampa Housing Authority Ella/Encore Project and Miracle Place.

#### **HOPWA**

The City of Tampa is an equal opportunity housing provider and lender. We require all of our Subrecipients of HOPWA funded programs it administers to comply with all federal and state anti-discrimination rules. The City of Tampa monitored 6 agencies to ensure program and federal guidelines. Any findings and concerns noted have been corrected.

The City of Tampa is the grantee organization responsible for the administration of HOPWA programs for west central Florida's 4-county Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA). Specifically, the Housing and Community Development Division (HCD) of the City's Planning and Development Department is responsible for administering the HOPWA program — in addition to providing affordable housing, economic development, community outreach and educational activities, and community revitalization assistance to the citizens of Tampa. As the lead jurisdiction for the HOPWA EMSA, the City's HCD is responsible for overseeing regulations, policies and procedures for the HOWPA program. This includes program development planning, RFP and contract management, fiscal and performance evaluation, and annual reporting so that the attainment of long-range and annual goals and objectives is ensured. The HOPWA EMSA consists of Hernando, Hillsborough, Pasco, and Pinellas counties.

Services for FY15/PY14 included Tenant Based Rental Assistance, Support Services, Facility Based Housing, and Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) assistance.

#### **Owner Occupied Rehab**

The City of Tampa's Owner Occupied Rehabilitation Program is designed to help maintain decent, safe and affordable housing for Tampa's low/moderate-income families. The program helps homeowners, who are financially unable to make the necessary repairs, bring their homes into compliance with the City's minimum Housing Code and HUD quality standards.

The Housing Rehab Partners (HRP) determines a detailed scope of services required to complete the necessary repairs as well as the maximum rehab costs. The scope is determined by a licensed contractor. Each agency ensures guidelines are met by completing the required Environmental Review Statutory Review Site Specific Checklist to determine if any environmental concerns exist. The City of Tampa staff does a site visit inspection prior to the beginning of all work to ensure all concerns are addressed in the scope of services.

The City of Tampa staff also conducts an on-site visit to monitors the progress being made and works with the property owner and contractor to ensure that the project is completed satisfactorily

To ensure all regulatory requirements and guidelines are achieved, the Risk factor below is used for our Community partners.

#### Sub factors used for each risk factor include the areas listed below.

#### 1. Financial

- a. Amount of the Grant
- b. Timeliness
- c. Timely submission of A-133 audits
- d. Financial Compliance
- e. Expenditure Provisions

#### 2. Physical

- a. Physical Conditions of Projects
- b. Acquisition, Construction, and Rehabilitation of Assets

#### 3. Management

- a. Staff Capacity and Oversight
- b. On-Site Monitoring and Last Monitored
- c. Program Caps
- d. Program Complexity
- e. OIG Audits
- f. Program Design
- g. Timely and Accurate Submissions
- h. Environmental/Relocation

#### 4. Satisfaction

- a. Citizen Complaints
- b. Grantee Responsiveness

#### 5. Services

- a. Meeting Program Objectives
- b. Carrying Out Program

#### **Risk Assessment Questions**

Low Risk: The City will monitor every three years. Low risk is defined as an agency with a long history of providing the same service. Agencies with little to no staff turnover; good audit reports, no issues in desk review, timely project completion, meeting or exceeding program goals or objectives. Payment requests and reports are submitted timely.

Moderate Risk: The City will monitor every other year. Moderate risk is defined as an agency with little to no issues, desk review are good, corrections made within 24 hours of notification of any issues or concerns. External audit report is good A-133, monitoring report with 3 or less findings and or concerns. For clarification purposes, the definitions are as follows: a "finding" is an element which does not comply with a Federal or State statute or regulation; whereas a "concern" represents a potential finding or a program deficiency that should be improved before it leads to a finding. Meets program goals and objectives. Payment requests and reports are submitted timely

High Risk: The City will monitor at least once a year and more frequent as needed. Frequent questions concerns with desk review. Staff turnover, not meeting program goals, performance and project expenditures are not timely, excessive citizen complaints, 4 or more findings and or concerns. For clarification purposes, the definitions are as follows: a "finding" is an element which does not comply with a Federal or State statute or regulation; whereas a "concern" represents a potential finding or a program deficiency that should be improved before it leads to a finding.

The City of Tampa is responsible for taking appropriate actions when performance problems arise with the Project Sponsors. Written agreements are the primary mechanism for enforcement in any situation of non-compliance. There are three levels of intervention, which the City of Tampa may use depending on the seriousness of the problems.

- **1. Low-level Intervention**: At this level, the City of Tampa may do one or more of the following:
  - a. Clearly identify problem areas and required corrective actions
  - b. Plan a strategy with the Project Sponsor, including training or technical assistance to help address the problem
  - c. Require more frequent reporting
  - d. Conduct more frequent monitoring visits
- **2. Moderate-level Intervention**: the City of Tampa may need to attempt increasingly tougher steps after the low-level intervention. These steps include:
  - a. Restrict payment requests
  - b. Disallow certain expenses or require repayment of funding provided for certain expenses
  - c. Impose probationary status
- **3. High-level Intervention**: At this level, the City of Tampa must take the most serious actions to stop non-compliance problems. These steps include:

- a. Temporarily suspend the organization from participation in the HOPWA Program until compliance is achieved
- b. Terminate the organization for the current year and call for the repayment of funds back to the City of Tampa
- c. Not allow the organization to participate in future funding allocations for one or more years
- d. Initiate legal action

#### Attachment 5 - Checklist

#### CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT COMPLETENESS CHECKLIST

Grantee: City of Tampa	Program year: 2014				
Reviewed by:	Date:				
Programs covered by the report: $igthiggtharpoonup CDBG igtharpoonup HOME igthiggtharpoonup ESG igtharpoonup HOPWA$					
Date CAPER due	12/30/2015				
Date CAPER received (see timestamp below "Status" on CR-00)					

#### **NOTES:**

- The checklist references IDIS reports that can assist in reviewing numbers provided by grantees in their CAPER submissions. **Grantees are not required to submit these reports**. CPD Representatives wishing to verify numbers in CAPER submissions should download these reports from IDIS.
- Guidance for State Grantees: The PER should still be completed using the instructions in Notice CPD-11-03
  - (http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program offices/administration/hudclips/notices/c pd#2011). Once submitted in accordance with Notice CPD-11-03, the PER becomes available in IDIS as the PR28 and can be downloaded and reviewed. In addition to doing the PR28, the other requirements of the CAPER Regulations under 24 CFR 91.520 are met by completing the e-Con Plan CAPER template. Updates to the e-Con Plan template are pending to enable State CDBG grantees to submit their PERS through this system in the future along with the rest of the CAPER. Additionally, an update to CPR-11-03 is pending at this time. In the meantime, the guidance above should be followed.
- Throughout the template, introduction and general "narrative" text boxes are provided for readability and to allow grantees to supplement the questions on the screen, however these are not required elements of the CAPER and therefore, it is acceptable if these textboxes are left blank.
- A lot of goal information in the template is populated from goals screens in the Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan. Currently, the only way to change this information is to amend the appropriate plan and re-generate the CAPER, which then requires the grantee to re-enter all their data. If a CPD Representative finds the need to request that a grantee amend goal information, it may be more efficient to ask the grantee to amend the plan (which the Representative can verify in the system) and provide supplemental narrative or inserted content documenting and explaining the change, rather than requesting, what will amount to an entirely new CAPER submission. (Future updates to IDIS will make this process easier).

• Currently, the Word download of the CAPER contains several errors. We are working to correct those errors in a future update. In the meantime, the CAPER should be reviewed from the IDIS screens because the information presented in the Word download is not reliable.

#### General

If the jurisdiction received any citizen comments or views on the performance report, was a summary of the comments or views included as an attachment to the report? 91.105(d)(2)
Yes No Comment Page 45 − Attachment 3
CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes 91.520(a) and 91.520(i)
These tables provide a comparison of the proposed goals versus actual outcomes for each goal included in the action plan and strategic plan. Expected, Actual, and Percent Complete fields were populated with data from the action plan and accomplishment data entered at the IDIS activity level. Users can edit them if they appear incorrect.
Did the jurisdiction provide an assessment of progress in carrying out its strategic plan and action plan? 91.520(a)  Yes No Comment Page 2
Did the jurisdiction provide an assessment of how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addressed the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified? 91.520(d)  Yes No Comment Page 3-9
<b>NOTE:</b> The jurisdiction should cite specific examples from the two tables to highlight specific accomplishments
and, if applicable, explain why progress was not made toward meeting specific goals, objectives, and proposed outcomes.
<b>NOTE IDIS DEFECT:</b> If reviewing a word download of the CAPER check the CR-05 screen to ensure that the grantee has not inserted any additional content. Grantees may insert content on this screen such as supplemental narrative text boxes, tables or images. Due to a system defect these insertions do not appear in the downloaded word report.
CR-10 – Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

The accomplishments reported in this table are read-only and cannot be edited. It is summarized from the IDIS activity accomplishment screens for the given program year for the grantee's programs. Did the jurisdiction provide the racial/ethnic data for accomplishments recorded with an accomplishment date during the Program Year in IDIS? 91.520(a)

CAPER 50

91.520(a)

Yes No Comment Page 11
NOTE: Accomplishments associated with ESG projects are not recorded in IDIS, so grantees will always
need to enter these accomplishments manually.
NOTE IDIS DEFECTS (scheduled to be remedied in December 2014):
• In some cases, a column for HOME accomplishments may appear on this screen when the
<ul> <li>Pre-populated data currently only includes accomplishments associated with Projects included in the Annual Action Plan for which the CAPER is being prepared. This will be corrected in December 2014. Grantees can create a table corresponding to the table on this screen and used data from the BOSMAC PR-03 (for CDBG) and PR-20 (for HOME) to report racial and ethnic data on this screen.</li> </ul>
CR-15 Resources and Investments 91.220, 91.320, 91.420, 91.520(a)
RESOURCES MADE AVAILABLE
The expenditure data is generated by the system based on drawdowns completed during the program
year. Users may update the values in both columns.
GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION AND LOCATION OF INVESTMENTS This table provides a list of the target areas included in the Strategic Plan. For each target area, the system will carry forward the planned percentage of allocation from the Action Plan. If no target area are identified in the Strategic Plan, does the narrative discuss the geographic distribution and location of investments? 91.520(a)
Yes No Comment Page 12
NARRATIVE: LEVERAGING AND MATCH Did the jurisdiction explain how Federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state, and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publication owned land or property located within the jurisdiction was used to address the needs identified in the plan? 91.520(a)  Yes No Comment Page 13
HOME Grantees Only: Did the jurisdiction provide the information required by the HOME Match Report HUD 40107-A HOME Annual Performance Report HUD 40107 (MBE/WBE Performance) contained of this screen?  Yes No Comment Page 13-16
1.C3 140 COUNTIENT LAKE T3-T0

NOTE: This report requires grantees to identify match based on the Federal Fiscal Year, NOT grantees program year. Reviewers should ensure that the amount reported covers the Federal Fiscal Year immediately preceding the end of the jurisdiction's program year. For example, if the last day of a PJ's program year is March 31, 2015, the timeframe for reporting match would be October 1, 2013 through

September 30, 2014. If the last day of a PJ's program year is September 30, 2015, the timeframe for reporting match would be October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015.

#### **NOTE IDIS DEFECTS:**

• FOR NON-HOME GRANTEES – Word download of CAPER includes these sections for non-HOME grantees, but it does not appear on the screen. If you are reviewing a Word Download and this section is blank for a non-HOME grantee, ignore this section.

#### • FOR HOME GRANTEES -

- o Match Contribution for Federal Fiscal Year: Values in "Project No. or Other ID" field are being formatted as dates.
- Data entered on screen in "Minority Owners of Rental Property" table is not appearing in the Word download. If you are reviewing the Word version of the plan, confirm this data on the CR-15 screen)
- All values for "Expected Amount Available" are being populated from the "Expected Amount Available Remainder of Con Plan" in the AAP-AP-15 screen, rather than "Expected Amount Available" for the Program Year.

Affordable Housing – CR-20 91.220(g), 91.320(g), 91.420, 91.520(b)

#### AFFORDABLE HOUSING - NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED TABLE

This table lists the goals and actual number of affordable housing units produced in the program year for each type of population (homeless, non-homeless, special needs). The One-Year Goal field is systemgenerated based on the information from the Action Plan.

Did the j	urisdiction	provide the actu	ual numbers in this table? 91.520(b)
Yes⊠	No	Comment _	Page 17

**NOTE:** This table only includes the grantee's goals for the number of homeless, non-homeless, and special needs households to be provided affordable housing within the program year using funds made available to the jurisdiction as specified in their Annual Action Plan on screen AP-55 – Affordable Housing. For the purpose of this section, the term "affordable housing" is defined in the HOME regulations at 24 CFR 92.252 for rental housing and 24 CFR 92.254 for homeownership. The numbers reported for actual should be consistent with the accomplishments reported at the Activity level in IDIS. Several reports, including the PR23 – Summary of Accomplishments, can help the jurisdiction determine the actual number of ELI, LI, and MI renter and owner households that received assistance during the program year. The grantee should be asked to revise the numbers in their Annual Action Plan, if the one-year goal field includes numbers that do not meet the definition of "affordable housing" as defined in the HOME regulations at 24 CFR 92.252 for rental housing and 24 CFR 92.254 for homeownership.

#### AFFORDABLE HOUSING - NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS SUPPORTED TABLE

This table lists the goals and actual number of affordable housing units produced in the program year for each type of housing assistance (rental assistance, production of new units, rehabilitation of existing

units, and acquisition of existing units). The One-Year Goal field is system-generated based on the information from screen AP-55 – Affordable Housing in the Annual Action Plan. Did the jurisdiction provide the actual numbers? 91.520(b) Yes No Comment Page 17 NOTE: The numbers reported in the Actual field should be consistent with the accomplishments reported at the Activity level in IDIS. Several reports, including the PR23 - Summary of Accomplishments, can help the jurisdiction determine the actual counts for the program year. Did the jurisdiction discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals? 92.525(i) Comment Page 17 Yes⊠ No Did the jurisdiction discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual Action Plans? Yes⊠ No Comment Page 17 NUMBER OF PERSONS SERVED This table should display the number of persons assisted at each income level who received housing assistance during the program year. The numbers reported for actual are populated by the system based on accomplishments reported at the activity level in IDIS. (Make sure the numbers populated by the system are correct. .) Did the jurisdiction provide the actual number of households provided affordable housing? 91.520(b) Comment \_\_\_\_\_ Page 18 Yes⊠ No **NOTE:** The numbers reported in the Actual field should be consistent with the accomplishments reported at the Activity level in IDIS. Several reports, including the PR23 – Summary of Accomplishments, can help the jurisdiction determine the actual counts for the program year. Grantees can adjust these values to correct actual numbers. Compare the number of ELI, LI, MI, and homeless households provided affordable housing with narrative for the strategic plan goals summary screen SP-45 to determine progress in meeting the strategic plan goal. **NOTE IDIS DEFECTs:** The system calculates accomplishments incorrectly for HOME program; The column for HOME accomplishments currently included for non-HOME grantees. These can be ignored until the system is corrected. • Accomplishments should be persons served with affordable housing assistance. Did the jurisdiction provide an additional narrative regarding the information provided by these tables? Yes Nol I N/A Comment Page 18 **CR-25 – Homeless and Other Special Needs** 

CAPER 53

91.220(i), 91.320(h), 91.420, 91.520(c)

Does the report evaluate of the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

1) Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs 91.520(c)(1)

Yes No Comment Page 19

2) Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons 91.520(c)(2)

Yes No Comment Page 19

3) Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families who are:

a. Likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health-care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities and corrections programs and institutions) 91.520(c)(4)(i) Yes⊠ No Comment Page 20 b. Receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education or youth needs? 91.520(c)(4)(ii) Yes⊠ Nol I Comment Page 20 4) Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again? 91.520(c)(3) Yes No Comment Page 20

**NOTE IDIS DEFECT:** If reviewing a word download of the CAPER check the CR-05 screen to ensure that the grantee has not inserted any additional content. Grantees may insert content on this screen such as supplemental narrative text boxes, tables or images. Due to a system defect these insertions made under the first two text boxes on this screen do not appear in the downloaded word report.

# CR-30 − Public Housing 24 CFR 91.220(h), 91.320(j), 91.420, 91.520(a) Did the jurisdiction identify actions taken to address the needs of public housing? 91.520(a) Yes No Comment Page 21

Did the jurisdiction identify actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership? 91.520(a) Yes No Comment Page 21

Did the jurisdiction identify actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs? 91.520(a)

Yes	No	N/A⊠	Comment	Page 21			
the gra	ntee has not ins nental narrative	serted any additi e text boxes, ta	onal content. G bles or images.	the CAPER check the rantees may insert of Due to a system of pear in the download	content on the defect these	nis screen su insertions	ch as
	Other Actions j, k), 91.320 (i, j	j), 91.420, 91.520	O(a)				
that sei ordinar	rve as barriers to nces, building co	o affordable hou	ising such as lai harges, growth 0(i)	r ameliorate the neg nd use controls, tax limitations, and po	policies affe	cting land, z	oning
Did the	jurisdiction ide	ntify actions take	en to:				
22	Address obstac	cles to meeting u	nderserved nee	eds? 91.220(k); 91.32	20(j) Yes⊠	No	Page
23		ased paint hazard		<del></del> -	Yes⊠	No	Page
	text box should o		n of actions taker	ncorrectly repeated as the notes to reduce lead-based			
24	Reduce the nur	mber of poverty-	level families?	91.220(k); 91.320(j)	Yes⊠	No	Page
24	Develop institu	itional structure?	91.220(k); 91.	320(j)	Yes⊠	No	Page
	Enhance coord 91.220(k); 91.3 No Page 24	20(j)	en public and	private housing a	and social s	_	icies? s⊠
	to fair housing Page 25	choice. 91.520(a	a)	ntified in the jurisdict		is of impedin Yes⊠	nents No
Comme	ent <u>Page 2</u>	<u>15</u>					
NOTF II	DIS DEFECTs:						

If reviewing a word download of the CAPER check the CR-05 screen to ensure that the grantee
has not inserted any additional content. Grantees may insert content on this screen such as
supplemental narrative text boxes, tables or images. Due to a system defect these insertions
made under the first six text boxes on this screen do not appear in the downloaded word report.

Monitoring – CR-40 91.230, 91.330, 91.430

Did the jurisdiction describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements?  Yes No Comment Page 27
Did the jurisdiction describe efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports? $91.520(a)$ Yes No Comment Page 28
<b>NOTE:</b> A jurisdiction must make the report available to the public for examination and comment for a period of at least 15 days, include a review of program performance at public hearings, and must consider any comments or views of citizens received in writing, or orally at public hearings in preparing the performance report. (91.105) A jurisdiction is expected to take whatever actions are appropriate to encourage the participation of all its citizens, including minorities and non-English speaking persons, as well as persons with disabilities. 91.105(a)(2)
<b>NOTE IDIS DEFECT:</b> If reviewing a word download of the CAPER check the CR-05 screen to ensure that the grantee has not inserted any additional content. Grantees may insert content on this screen such as supplemental narrative text boxes, tables or images. Due to a system defect these insertions made under the first text box on this screen do not appear in the downloaded word report.
CR- 45 – CDBG (CDBG grantees only) 91.520(d)
Did the jurisdiction specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences? 91.520(d)  Yes No Comment Page 28
Does this jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?  Yes No Comment Page 28
If you answer yes to the BEDI question above, did the jurisdiction describe grant accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.  Yes No Comment Page NA
NOTE: BEDI grantees should describe program accomplishments and outcomes following instructions for Section

training manual/. Review IDIS PR03 Report to determine extent to which extremely low-income, low-income,

and moderate-income served by each activity where information on income by family is required to determine the eligibility of the activity. 91.520(d)

# CR-50 – HOME (HOME grantees only) 91.520(e)

Did the jurisdiction include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations, including:

A list of projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the

schedule in §92.504(d)?	
Yes No Comment <u>Page 29</u>	
An indication of which of these were inspected and a summary of issu during the inspection?	es that were detected
Yes No Comment Page 29	
A description of how it will remedy the situation for those properties that Yes No Comment Page 29	were not inspected?
Did the jurisdiction provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marke units? 92.351(b)  Yes No Comment Page 29-30	eting actions for HOME
Did the jurisdiction provide data on the amount and use of program income for number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics?  Yes No Comment Page 30	projects, including the
Did the jurisdiction describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordabl 91.520(a)	e housing? 91.220(k);
Yes No Comment Page 30 <b>NOTE:</b> This is not limited to the HOME program.	
<b>STATES ONLY:</b> Did the state include the coordination of LIHTC with the deve housing? 91.320(j); 92.520(a)	lopment of affordable
Yes No Comment Page NA	
NOTE: This is not limited to the HOME program.	

**NOTE IDIS DEFECT:** If reviewing a word download of the CAPER check the CR-05 screen to ensure that the grantee has not inserted any additional content. Grantees may insert content on this screen such as supplemental narrative text boxes, tables or images. Due to a system defect these insertions made under the first and third text boxes on this screen do not appear in the downloaded word report.

# CR-55 – HOPWA (HOPWA grantees only) 91.520(f)

each eligible type of hou	ear goals and actual number of households receiving HOPWA assistance for sing assistance. The One-Year Goal field is system-generated based on the P-70 – HOPWA Goals in the Action Plan. Did the jurisdiction provide the actual
	omment Page 31
<b>NOTE:</b> The numbers report jurisdiction's HOPWA CAPER.	rts for actual should be consistent with the accomplishments reported in the
NOTE IDIS DEFECT: CPD R	epresentatives should review this table in IDIS. When values are entered into
the table on this screen, th	e word download repeats every row 16 times and the "Totals" row is missing.
CR-60 ESG (ESG grantee 91.520(g)	s only) (PAPER COPY – if full CAPER not submitted in IDIS)
	ete all the required ESG recipient and subrecipient information?  omment Page 32
NOTE: the grantee inform	ation referenced in sections 1 and 2 on this screen should be automatically
populated in the word do	wnload of the CAPER with the information from the Grantee/PJ profile. CPD
Representatives can confir	m this information in each grantee's profile.
ESG Persons Assisted – in IDIS) 91.520(g)	CR - 65 (ESG grantees only) (PAPER COPY – if not full CAPER submitted
Did the jurisdiction comp number of persons in hous Prevention Activiti	
Yes⊠ No□	Comment Page 34
Rapid Re-Housing	Activities:
Yes⊠ No□	Comment Page 34
Shelter? Yes⊠ No⊡	Comment Page 34
Street Outreach?	
Yes⊠ No□	Comment Page 35
Total for all persor	ns in households served with ESG?

	Yes⊠	No	Comme	nt	Page 35	_				
Did the Yes⊠	jurisdictio No	n complete a Comm	all the requ	_		rmation	?			
	jurisdictio No	n complete a Comm	all the requ	_		ation?				
Did the Yes⊠	jurisdictio No	n complete a Comm	•	•		ds popul	ations se	rved info	ormation?	)
		<b>T:</b> CPD Repre reen are misl		s should	review tl	his table	in IDIS. C	Columns	in the wo	ord version o
	ted in IDI		rovided	(ESG g	grantees	only) (	(PAPER	COPY -	- if not	full CAPEF
Did the Yes⊠	jurisdictio No	n report on s Comm	shelter util nent			ESG expe	enditures	?		
	-	n report on բ Comm	-							
CR-75 IDIS) 91.520(	•	nditures –	(ESG grar	ntees o	nly) (PA	PER CO	PY – if r	ot full	CAPER s	ubmitted ir
	as expende	-							-	ar allocations rell as match
Yes	No	Comm	nent	Page 38	<u>3-39</u>					

# **NOTE IDIS Defects:**

- The years populate correctly on the screen but they are incorrect on the word report.
- <u>CPD Representatives should review this screen in IDIS.</u>
  - o Totals may appear for 5 year goals, not 1 year goals

# **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION:** Based on my review of this report, in accordance with all applicable regulations, I find this report to be satisfactory unsatisfactory Comments: Type comments here. **SIGNED:** \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Reviewer: Program Manager: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ CPD Director: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ The following can be reviewed as part of the overall CAPER review. However, these items are not regulatory and a deficiency in any area does not affect the determination as to whether or not the CAPER is satisfactory. A review of the following will assist the CPD Representative determine compliance with certain regulatory provisions and can be assessed as part of the Annual Community Assessment. CDBG Grantees Only: Did the jurisdiction include the Financial Summary Report (PR26)? Yes⊠ No Comment Page 40-44 Attachment 2 CDBG Grantees Only: Was the 70% low/mod overall spending requirement for CDBG met? Yes⊠ Comment Page 40 No **NOTE:** If this is a multi-year certification, please specify the period covered. **Expenditure Limits** Has the grantee exceeded the 20% administrative cap for CDBG? Yes No Has the grantee exceeded the 15% public service cap for CDBG? Yes No Has the grantee exceeded the 10% administrative cap for HOME?

CAPER 60

NoX

Yes

Has the gı Yes⊠	grantee met the 15% CHDO set-aside for HOME?	
Has the gi sponsors Yes∏	grantee exceeded the 3% administrative cap for HOF rs under No	WA or the 7% administrative cap by project HOPWA?
Has the gi Yes⊡	grantee exceeded the 7.5% administrative cap for En No $\boxtimes$	nergency Solutions Grant?
of 60% of	ne amount of ESG funds for street outreach and eme of the jurisdiction's fiscal year ESG grant or the am ss assistance activities? No⊠	
furtheran	e jurisdiction describe the standards and procedure ance of the plan and used to ensure long-term comed, including minority business outreach and the comp  No Comment - Attachment 4	pliance with requirements of the programs
regulatory	owing can be reviewed as part of the overall CAPER re ory and a deficiency in any area does not affect the de is satisfactory.	
A review	w of the following will assist the CPD Representative o	letermine compliance with certain

regulatory provisions and can be assessed as part of the Annual Community Assessment.